

Mathematical Semantics of Computer Systems, *MSCS* (4810-1168) Handout for Lecture 5 (2016/10/31)

Ichiro Hasuo, Dept. Computer Science, Univ. Tokyo
<http://www-mmm.is.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~ichiro>

Video recording of the lectures is available at:

<http://www-mmm.is.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp/videos/mcscs2016>

(ask me for username, password)

Part I: Adjunction (ctn'd)

1 Today's Goals

1.1 Goal I: Abstract Interpretation via Adjunction

Identify the following framework of *abstract interpretation* [3] as an instance of adjunction. (Thanks are due to Kengo Kido for a nice introduction.)

Definition (Galois connection). Let L and \bar{L} be posets; and $\alpha: L \rightarrow \bar{L}$ and $\gamma: \bar{L} \rightarrow L$ be monotone functions. The pair (α, γ) is said to be a *Galois connection* if, for any $x \in L$ and $\bar{x} \in \bar{L}$,

$$\alpha(x) \leq_{\bar{L}} \bar{x} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x \leq_L \gamma(\bar{x}) .$$

Example (interval domain). Let

$$L := \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{L} := \{\emptyset\} \cup \{[l, r] \mid l, r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}, l \leq r\}$$

where each set is ordered by inclusion. Moreover,

$$\alpha(S) := [\min S, \max X] \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(\bar{S}) := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \in \bar{S}\} .$$

Then the pair (α, γ) is a Galois connection.

1.2 Goal II: Quantifiers via Adjunction (à la Lawvere)

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function, and 2^X and 2^Y be the posets of *predicates* over X and Y , respectively, whose orders are the inclusion order.

We think of the posets 2^X and 2^Y as categories. Then we have two adjunctions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \exists_f & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ 2^X & \xleftarrow{\perp} & 2^Y \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & \forall_f & \end{array} \quad . \quad (1)$$

2 Today's Agenda

2.1 Adjunction

Definition. Homset.

Definition. Adjunction.

Example. Free monoids.

Definition. Unit, counit.

Lemma 1. *Adjoint transposes by units and counits.*

Proposition 1. *Characterization of adjunction by: 1) the universality of η (Def. 3.2 of [Lambek & Scott], intuitive for free monoids); 2) the triangular equalities (Def. 3.1 of [Lambek & Scott]).*

Lemma 2. 1. *Adjoint functors determine each other uniquely up-to canonical natural isomorphisms.*

2. *Composition of adjoints.*

2.2 Limits and Colimit

Definition. Diagram, cone, cocone

Definition. Limit, colimit

Proposition 2. *Limits from products and equalizers*

Corollary 1. *Concrete presentation of (co)limits in **Sets***

2.3 Limits as Adjoints

Definition. Functor category

Proposition 3. *A limit gives rise to an adjunction.*

3 Exercises

1. Formulate and prove the following statement.

A right adjoint preserves limits.

2. Prove the following: in an adjunction $F \dashv G$, G is faithful if and only if every component of the counit ε is an epi. [6, Thm. IV.3.1]

Report Assignments

Deadline: at the beginning of the next lecture.

1. Let (E, e) be an equalizer in the situation $E \xrightarrow{e} X \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{matrix} Y$. Prove that the arrow e is necessarily a mono.

2. Let $X \times Y$ denote a product of X and Y ; and 1 be a terminal object. Prove that there exist the following canonical isomorphisms.

(a) $(X \times Y) \times Z \cong X \times (Y \times Z)$

(b) $1 \times X \cong X$

Part II: the Yoneda Lemma

Remember: we loosely follow [4], but it hardly serves as an introductory textbook. More beginner-friendly ones include [1, 5]; other classical textbooks include [6, 2]. nLab (ncatlab.org) is an excellent online information source.

4 Today's Goal

Familiarize yourself with the *Yoneda lemma*. Identify it as a category theory analogue of the *Cayley representation theorem*:

Theorem (Cayley). *Every group G is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\pi(|G|)$.*

5 Today's Agenda

5.1 Equivalence of Categories

Definition. Subcategory, faithful functor, full functor

Lemma 3. *Any functor preserves isomorphisms.*

A full and faithful functor reflects isomorphisms.

Definition. Equivalence of categories

Proposition 4. *Equivalence from a full, faithful and iso-dense functor.*

5.2 The Yoneda Lemma

Definition. Covariance, contravariance

Theorem (Yoneda). *The Yoneda lemma, the Yoneda embedding as a full and faithful functor*

Definition. end, coend

Theorem. *The Yoneda lemma, the (co)end form*

Lemma 4. *Ends as limits [6, Prop. IX.5.1]*

Lemma 5. *Homfunctors preserve (co)limits, hence also (co)ends*

6 Exercises

1. Formulate the “naturality” of the Yoneda correspondence

$$\text{Nat}(\mathbb{C}(_, X), F) \cong FX$$

and prove it.

References

- [1] S. Awodey. *Category Theory*. Oxford Logic Guides. Oxford Univ. Press, 2006.
- [2] M. Barr and C. Wells. *Toposes, Triples and Theories*. Springer, Berlin, 1985. Available online.
- [3] P. Cousot and R. Cousot. Abstract interpretation: A unified lattice model for static analysis of programs by construction or approximation of fixpoints. In R.M. Graham, M.A. Harrison and R. Sethi, editors, *Conference Record of the Fourth ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages, Los Angeles, California, USA, January 1977*, pp. 238–252. ACM, 1977.
- [4] J. Lambek and P.J. Scott. *Introduction to higher order Categorical Logic*. No. 7 in Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1986.
- [5] T. Leinster. *Basic Category Theory*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2014.
- [6] S. Mac Lane. *Categories for the Working Mathematician*. Springer, Berlin, 2nd edn., 1998.